

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,341	12/13/2001	Tom Steinke	STEINKE.005A	6810
20995 75	590 02/09/2005		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			THALER, MICHAEL H	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005	5 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	, 					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/017,341	STEINKE, TOM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Thaler	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 D	ecember 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the for drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/017,341

Art Unit: 3731

Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khosravi et al. (5,441,515) in view of Ryan Khosravi et al. disclose a catheter having an expandable member (the balloon catheter described in col. 3, lines 17-37) coupled to an expansion actuator (the source of inflation fluid for the balloon catheter) and a stent (e.g. the stent shown in figure 26) comprising a tubular member comprising a series of locking radial elements (one element being the portion of member 380 which has teeth 385, i.e. the right portion as seen in figure 26, and another element being the structure between slots 395) and at least one articulating that permits one-way sliding of the radial mechanism 385 elements but prevents recoil from the expanded diameter (the abstract), wherein no radial element overlaps with itself in the second expanded diameter. Khosravi et al. fail to disclose a degradable polymeric coating that holds the stent on the expandable member. However, Ryan teaches that a polymeric coating should be applied to a stent and expandable member in order to obtain the advantage of keeping the stent securely attached to the catheter until deployment is desired (col. 2, lines 35-38 and col. 2, line 64 to col. 3, line 9). It would have been obvious to include a polymeric coating on the Khosravi et al. stent and expandable member so that it too would have Application/Control Number: 10/017,341

Art Unit: 3731

Page 3

this advantage. Note that Ryan discloses polyethylene glycol, for example, as the polymer (col. 6, lines 44-51). As to claim 11, note col. 8, lines 60-62 of Ryan. As to claims 13 and 14, Khosravi et al. fail to disclose radial elements that alternate between radial elements having one rib and radial elements having two ribs. However, it is old and well known in this art to provide such an arrangement in order to provide enhanced strength to the stent. It would have been obvious to this arrangement for the Khosravi et al. stent so that it too would have this advantage. The above well known in the art statement is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the examiner's assertion (M.P.E.P. 2144.03). claim 15, each radial element is structurally discrete from the radial elements and forms only a fraction of other circumference of the tubular member since the element (which is the portion of member 380 which has teeth 385) extends along only a fraction of the circumference of the tubular member.

Applicant's arguments filed Dec. 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/017,341

Art Unit: 3731

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Thaler whose telephone number is (571)272-4704. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

mht 2/7/05

MICHAEL THALER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3731

Page 4